

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,650	03/29/2004	Kazuya Iwasaki	248840US2CONT	9598	
22850	7590 05/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T		
	40 DUKE STREET LEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629	· <u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/810,650	IWASAKI, KAZUYA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Pre	liminary Amendment filed on 3/2	29/04.
· _ · · · —	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been received.	
<ol> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been received in Applic	ation No. <u>10/091,501</u> .
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ived in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not recei	ived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/29/04</u> .	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application has been examined. The claims 1-17 are pending. The examination results are as following.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,741,239. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current Application 10/810,650 and Patent No. 6,741,239 recite the same limitations "detecting a voltage, logic circuit power source, performing supply/interrupt of a voltage, compulsory discharge means, detection of a voltage rise of said logic circuit power source..." However, the different between the current Application 10/810,650 and Patent No. 6,741,239 that the current

Application/Control Number: 10/810,650 Page 3

Art Unit: 2629

Application 10/810,650 does not teach a "LCD" on the power source control method. It obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a LCD" on the power source control method because the LCD is well known in the art and almost applied to any system display.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimnlung Manusor
Kimnhung Nguyen

Examiner April 25, 2006